UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,797	10/21/2003	Jorge L. Orbay	HAN-025	3795
	7590 01/19/2007 ACOBSON, P.C.		EXAMINER	
60 LONG RIDGE ROAD SUITE 407 STAMFORD, CT 06902			RAMANA, ANURADHA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3733	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON		01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/689,797	ORBAY, JORGE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anu Ramana	3733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10/3</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/31/06.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	his application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 19-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 19-21, 24 and 25 is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) 22,23 and 26-31 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2005.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati nity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/19/04;5/20/04;11/8/04.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/689,797

Art Unit: 3733

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the invention of Group II (claims 19-23 and new claims 24-31) in the reply filed on October 31, 2006 is acknowledged.

Accordingly, this action addresses pending claims 19-31.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Medoff et al. (US 7,044,951) in view of Orlich (US 3,561,437).

Medoff et al. disclose a method of fixing a fracture including the steps of: drilling a K-wire through a portion of bone; reducing the fracture; positioning a bone plate over the K-wire; and securing a second portion of the plate on a side opposite the osteotomy (col. 3, lines 38-67 and col. 4, lines 1-37).

Medoff et al. disclose all steps of the claimed invention except for performing an osteotomy.

Orlich teaches using osteotomy to position a plate to promote healing by accelerating osteogenesis because more raw bone surface is in contact at the fracture site (col. 4, lines 8-18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized osteotomy, as taught by Orlich, to position the Medoff et al. plate in order to accelerate osteogenesis.

Art Unit: 3733

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-23 and 26-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR January 8, 2007 Anurada Pamara